

Poly-X, the Poly-reference LSCF Implementation and Experiment

Application Note 058



Aakash Umesh Mange - Senior Application Engineer Ziyuan Jiao - Graduate Student, M.S.E '18 | University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, USA March 2019 | © Crystal Instruments Corporation

Contents

3
3
3
4
5
6

Abstract

A poly-reference least-square method for modal parameter identification of a system in frequency-domain is discussed and implemented. It can also be considered as a multi-reference frequency-domain implementation of the recognized time-domain based Least-Squares Complex Exponential (LSCE) estimator. The main advantages of the Poly-reference Least-Squares Complex Frequencydomain estimator (p-LSCF) are its capabilities of handling high system orders and high modal density. The stability diagram is much cleaner which makes the selection of system poles easier. Other advantages are lower computational times and the ability to handle multiple references. The Poly-X is Crystal Instruments' version of p-LSCF, which is included in the EDM Modal software package. A MIMO FRF experimental test is carried out to demonstrate these advantages.

Introduction

Modal testing is usually performed to experimentally determine a dynamic system's modal characteristics. The results from modal tests are used to validate and improve FEA models, troubleshoot vibration and noise issues, and to study structural dynamics.

The rapid development of modal parameter estimation methods over the past few decades has not only increased the accuracy of modal parameter estimation but has also reduced the computational efforts. For modal parameter estimation, pole identification is the most critical part because poles contain useful information of vibration modes, such as natural frequencies and damping ratios. This report summarizes previous research on the leastsquare method for modal parameter identification in the frequency domain and focuses on pole identification. A test case is conducted to verify the implementation results of the p-LSCF method.

Implementation of **Poly-X**

Poly-X, the Poly-reference leastsquares complex frequency-domain estimator (p-LSCF) is a polyreference implementation of the LSCF estimator [1] and was first introduced in the "Proceedings of International Modal Analysis Conference" [3]. One or more references can be handled by the p-LSCF method. When using one reference, the result is the same as the LSCF method. A simplified derivation is summarized here for implementation. Please refer to the original paper for a detailed derivation.

The relationship between the response (output) and excitation (input) in the frequency domain is modeled through the right matrixfraction description (RMFD):

$$\hat{\boldsymbol{H}_{o}}(\omega) = \boldsymbol{N}_{o}(\omega)\boldsymbol{D}^{-1}(\omega) \qquad (2.1)$$

where $\hat{H}_{o}(\omega)$ is the model of measured $H_0(\omega) \in C^{N_f \times N_i}$.

For output o = 1, 2, ..., No,

$$N_o(\omega) = \sum_{j=0}^{n} \Omega_j(\omega) B_{oj} \qquad (2.2)$$

where $N_{\rho}(\omega) \in \mathbb{C}^{N_f \times N_i}$ is the numerator polynomial of order n and

$$D(\omega) = \sum_{j=0}^{n} \Omega_j(\omega) A_j \qquad (2.3)$$

where $D(\omega) \in \mathbb{C}^{N_i \times N_i}$ is the denominator polynomial of order n. The polynomial basis function $\Omega_{i}(\omega)$ usually given by $\Omega_i(\omega) = (e^{-i\omega})^j$ in the discrete-time domain. The B_{oi} and A_i are coefficient matrix of polynomials

which are the parameters to be estimated. Group these coefficients as $\theta = [\beta_1^T, \dots, \beta_{N_0}^T, \alpha^T]^T$ with

$$\beta_{o} = \begin{bmatrix} \beta_{o0} \\ \beta_{o1} \\ \vdots \\ \beta_{on} \end{bmatrix}, \alpha = \begin{bmatrix} A_{0} \\ A_{1} \\ \vdots \\ A_{n} \end{bmatrix}$$
(2.4)

The coefficient matrix is estimated by minimizing the cost function (2.5):

$$\ell_{NLS}(\boldsymbol{\theta}) = \sum_{o=1}^{N_o} \sum_{f=1}^{N_f} tr\left(\left(\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_o^{NLS}(\omega_f, \boldsymbol{\theta}) \right)^{H} \cdot \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_o^{NLS}(\omega_f, \boldsymbol{\theta}) \right) \right)$$

By approximating the nonlinear least-square problem by a linear leastsquares problem, the following cost function is obtained (2.6 amd 2.7):

$$\ell_{LS}(\boldsymbol{\theta}) = \sum_{\substack{o=1\\N_o}}^{N_o} tr\left(\left(\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_o^{LS}(\boldsymbol{\theta})\right)^H \cdot \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_o^{LS}(\boldsymbol{\theta})\right)\right)$$
$$= \sum_{o=1}^{N_o} tr\left(\left[\boldsymbol{\beta}_o^T \quad \boldsymbol{\alpha}^T\right] \cdot \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{R}_o & \mathbf{S}_o \\ \mathbf{S}_o^T & \mathbf{T}_o \end{bmatrix} \cdot \begin{bmatrix} \boldsymbol{\beta}_o \\ \boldsymbol{\alpha} \end{bmatrix}\right)$$

with

$$\mathbf{R}_o = Re(\mathbf{X}_o^H \mathbf{X}_o),$$
(2.8)

$$\mathbf{S}_o = Re(\mathbf{X}_o^H \mathbf{Y}_o),$$
(2.9)

$$\mathbf{T}_o = Re(\mathbf{Y}_o^H \mathbf{Y}_o),$$
(2.10)

$$\sigma_o = Re(\mathbf{Y}_o^H \mathbf{Y}_o). \tag{2.10}$$

where (2.11 and 2.12)

$$\begin{split} \mathbf{X}_{\mathbf{0}} &= \begin{bmatrix} W_{\mathbf{0}}(\omega_{1})[\Omega_{\mathbf{0}}(\omega_{1}) & \cdots & \Omega_{n}(\omega_{1})]) \\ \vdots \\ W_{\mathbf{0}}(\omega_{N_{f}})[\Omega_{\mathbf{0}}(\omega_{N_{f}}) & \cdots & \Omega_{n}(\omega_{N_{f}})]] \end{bmatrix} \in \mathbb{C}^{N_{f} \times (n+1)} \\ \mathbf{Y}_{\mathbf{0}} &= \begin{bmatrix} -(W_{\mathbf{0}}(\omega_{1})[\Omega_{\mathbf{0}}(\omega_{1}) & \cdots & \Omega_{n}(\omega_{1})]) \otimes \mathbf{H}_{\mathbf{0}}(\omega_{1}) \\ \vdots \\ -(W_{\mathbf{0}}(\omega_{N_{f}})[\Omega_{\mathbf{0}}(\omega_{N_{f}}) & \cdots & \Omega_{n}(\omega_{N_{f}})]) \otimes \mathbf{H}_{\mathbf{0}}(\omega_{1}) \\ \end{bmatrix} \in \mathbb{C}^{N_{f} \times N_{t}(n+1)} \end{split}$$

 \otimes represents Kronecker product and

$$W_o(\omega_f) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{var[\mathbf{H}_o(\omega_f)]}}$$

represents the weight applied on measured data.

To get the minimum cost function, both partial derivatives of Equation (2.7) with respect to β and α need to be zero. (2.13 and 2.14)

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \beta_o} \ell_{LS}(\theta) = 2(R_o \beta_o + S_o \alpha) = 0$$
$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \alpha} \ell_{LS}(\theta) = 2\left[\sum_{o=1}^{N_o} (S_o^T \beta_o + T_o \alpha)\right] = 0$$

Solve above two equations simultaneously (2.15):

$$\left[\sum_{o=1}^{N_o} \left(\mathbf{T}_o - \mathbf{S}_o^{\mathrm{T}} \mathbf{R}_o^{-1} \mathbf{S}_o \right) \right] \cdot \boldsymbol{\alpha} = \mathbf{M} \cdot \boldsymbol{\alpha} \approx \mathbf{0}$$

Then calculate coefficient matrix α by solving least-square problem A • X = B from Equation (2.15): (2.16 and 2.17)

The least-square solution of α is given by $\alpha = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{X} \\ \mathbf{I}_{N_i} \end{bmatrix}$

where I_{N_i} is $N_i \times N_i$ identity matrix.

The reason why use identity matrix to constrain highest order term of denominator polynomial was explained in [2]. Other methods for solving coefficient matrix and a detailed discussion on the effects of real and complex valued coefficient matrix can be found in [5]. Note that, on the demand of pole identification, only coefficients of denominator (α) are interested. Poles can be determined from eigenvalue problem as introduced in [4]:

$$\begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{I}_{N_{i}} & \cdots & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{0} & \cdots & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ \mathbf{0} & \cdots & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{I}_{N_{i}} \\ \mathbf{A}_{0}^{T} & -\mathbf{A}_{1}^{T} & \cdots & -\mathbf{A}_{n-2}^{T} - \mathbf{A}_{n-1}^{T} \end{bmatrix} \cdot \mathbf{V} = \mathbf{V} \Lambda$$
 (2.18)

where the eigenvalue matrix Λ contains the discrete-time poles $z = e^{-sTs}$ on its diagonal.

Then transfer poles from z-domain to s-domain by $s = -\frac{1}{T_s} \ln z$. Natural frequency and damping ratio can be extracted by

$$s_{1,2} = -\zeta \omega_s \pm \sqrt{1 - \zeta^2} \omega_s \qquad (2.19)$$

$$\omega_s = \left| s_{1,2} \right| \tag{2.20}$$

$$\zeta = \frac{\left|Re(s_{1,2})\right|}{\omega_s} \tag{2.21}$$



Figure 3.1 Plexiglass board free-free suspension on a test bench



Figure 3.2 Measurement Tab displaying the test data

Note: Poles with positive real parts are unstable poles, which can be eliminated before generating stability diagram.

Experimental Test Case

In order to compare the performance of Poly-X (p-LSCF) and typical time domain method (PTD), a modal shaker test is carried out on a rectangular (8" x 4") plexiglass board (Figure 3.1). The plexiglass board is modeled with thirty-two 1" x 1" grids and has 45 measurement points in total. It is suspended from an elastic band which ensures a free-free boundary condition. The MIMO modal shaker test with roving response method is used in this experiment. One modal shaker with impedance head is mounted on No. 12 node and the other is mounted on No. 29 node to measure the -z (vertical) force. Three accelerometers are roved from node no.1 to no.45 during the experiment to measure +z response. The MIMO FRF test enables both two modal shakers on nodes No. 12 and No. 29. Burst random signal (60% burst) of 0.05 V RMS amplitude was used as excitation signal. Frequency range of this test is set to 576 Hz, with a block size of 4096. No window is needed for burst random excitation method as the user can tune the burst percentage to control the no output duration time to ensure that the response decays naturally and there is no leakage.

With the help of a Mode Indicator Function (MIF), the natural frequencies can be labeled. By eliminating right body modes at extremely low frequencies and insignificant modes at high frequencies, modal modes were found between 50 and 350 Hz. A stability diagram generated based on PTD method is shown in Figure 3.3. A stability diagram generated based on Poly-X method is also shown in Figure 3.4. Comparison between the two estimation methods is discussed in the conclusion.

Conclusion

The Poly-X, a poly-reference least-square method is discussed and implemented. An experimental MIMO FRF test is carried out to exhibit its advantages. The Poly-X estimator produces a much cleaner stability diagram which simplifies the process of choosing the stable system poles. The poly-reference frequency-domain based estimator also works better in identifying lightly damped modes (Modes 1 and 2) and closely spaced modes (Modes 3 and 4) as observed in the stability diagrams procured from the different estimation methods. It was observed that using the same frequency band and same order of curve-fitting, the implemented Poly-X method is two times computationally faster than the PTD time domain estimator. These advantages make the Poly-X method more efficient and attractive.



Figure 3.3 Stability diagram using PTD estimator



Figure 3.4 Stability diagram using Poly-X estimator

References

[1] H. Van der Auweraer et al. "Application of a Fast-Stabilizing Frequency Do- main Parameter Estimation Method". In: Journal of Dynamic Systems, Measurement and Control 123 (2001), pp. 651–658.

[2] Bart Cauberghe et al. "The secret behind clear stabilization diagrams: the influence of the parameter constraint on the stability of the poles". In: Proceedings of the 10th SEM international congress exposition on experimental and applied mechanics. 2004, pp. 7–10.

[3] Patrick Guillaume et al. "A poly-reference implementation of the least-squares complex frequencydomain estimator". In: Proceedings of International Modal Analysis Conference. Vol. 21. 2003, pp. 183–192.

[4] Bart Peeters et al. "A new procedure for modal parameter estimation". In: Sound and Vibration 38 (2004), pp. 24–29.

[5] Peter Verboven. "Frequencydomain system identification for modal analysis". PhD thesis. 2004.

Crystal Instruments Corporation 2090 Duane Avenue Santa Clara, CA 95054 Crystal Instruments Testing Lab 15661 Producer Lane, STE H Huntington Beach, CA 92649 Crystal Instruments Testing Lab 1548A Roger Dale Carter Boulevard Kannapolis, NC 28081 Phone: +1 (408) 986-8880 Fax: +1 (408) 834-7818 www.crystalinstruments.com

© 2023 Crystal Instruments Corporation. All Rights Reserved. 07/2023

Notice: This document is for informational purposes only and does not set forth any warranty, expressed or implied, concerning any equipment, equipment feature, or service offered or to be offered by Crystal Instruments. Crystal Instruments reserves the right to make changes to this document at any time, without notice, and assumes no responsibility for its use. This informational document describes features that may not be currently available. Contact a Crystal Instruments sales representative for information on features and product availability.